

Blue diode laser as supportive therapy for the management of vulvar lichen sclerosus

Serena Bergamo, Margherita Gobbo, Silvia Chimenton, Giorgia D'Angelo, Luca Guarda Nardini, Alessandro Gatti I

¹Dermatology Unit, ULSS 2 Marca Trevigiana, Ca' Foncello Hospital, Treviso; ²Unit of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, ULSS 2 Marca Trevigiana, Ca' Foncello Hospital, Treviso, Italy

Correspondence: Serena Bergamo, Dermatology Unit, ULSS 2 Marca Trevigiana, Ca' Foncello Hospital, via Scarpa 9, 31100 Treviso, Italy.

E-mail: serena.bergamo@aulss2.veneto.it Tel.: +39.0422 322517 - Fax: +39.0422 322095

Key words: lichen sclerosus; laser; photobiomodulation; blue diode laser; vulvar lichen sclerosus.

Contributions: SB, MG, AG, LGN, study design, data collection, writing; SC, GD, clinical support, data collection; AG, LGN, review of final version of the manuscript. All the authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Conflicts of interest: the authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: the study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975, as revised in 2013, and in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation. Written informed consent was obtained from each patient.

Consent for publication: patients gave their written consent to use their personal data for the publication of this case report and any accompanying images.

Availability of data and materials: the data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

Acknowledgments: the authors thank Dr. Fabio Lamanna for data analysis.

Received: 19 May 2024. Accepted: 9 August 2024.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

©Copyright: the Author(s), 2024 Licensee PAGEPress, Italy Dermatology Reports 2025; 17:10046 doi:10.4081/dr.2024.10046

Publisher's note: all claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Abstract

Vulvar lichen sclerosus is a chronic inflammatory condition characterized by the thinning and atrophy of the skin and mucosa surrounding the vulva and anus. This study evaluates the efficacy of a treatment protocol utilizing blue-diode laser photobiomodulation in managing vulval lichen sclerosus symptoms in a cohort of 12 female patients. The treatment protocol consisted of laser sessions 3 times a week for 2 weeks and follow-up sessions over a 16-week period. Objective and subjective parameters were assessed before treatment, at the end of treatment, and at 4-month follow-up visits. Results demonstrated significant reductions in subjective symptoms, such as itching and pain, as well as improvements in objective signs, including erythema and fissures. No side effects were observed, indicating the safety and tolerability of laser treatment. These findings suggest that photobiomodulation can be an effective therapeutic option for patients with vulval lichen sclerosus, with future research aimed at refining treatment protocols and evaluating its long-term benefits.

Introduction

Lichen sclerosus (LS) is a chronic Th1-mediated inflammatory condition affecting females at a ratio of 3:1 compared to males. Exhibiting a predilection for genital areas in both sexes, the condition manifests through the atrophy and thinning of the skin and mucosa surrounding the vulva and anus, displaying a chronic course marked by recurring episodes that eventually result in vulval atrophy, adhesions, formation of scars, and disruption of normal vulval anatomy and function.1 Typical features include depigmented spots, either hyperkeratotic or sclerotic, that may be surrounded by erythema and accompanied by fissures, purpura, and ecchymoses. The Koebner phenomenon, wherein lesions develop in previously unaffected skin following scratching or other forms of trauma, is a well-recognized characteristic of this disease. LS is characterized histologically by hyperkeratosis, dermal atrophy, basal cell degeneration, dermal hyalinization, and a band-like lymphocytic infiltrate.1 Onset can occur at any age, with incidence peaking twice, once in adolescence and again in post-menopausal years. Patients with LS face an increased risk of developing genital squamous cell carcinoma, necessitating long-term follow-up.² Despite being of unknown etiology, evidence suggests an autoimmune basis, with a reported family history in 12% of cases.^{1,3}

Topical steroids, particularly super-potent ones like 0.05% clobetasol propionate cream or ointment, constitute the cornerstone of treatment. Additional therapeutic options include topical calcineurin inhibitors, topical sex hormones, topical and systemic retinoids, emollients, anti-TNF-alpha biological agents, UVA-1 phototherapy, and ablative and non-ablative laser therapies. 4-8

Beyond its physical manifestations, LS significantly impacts the quality of life, particularly in the realms of psychosexual well-





being. 1,3,9 This study aims to evaluate the efficacy of a treatment protocol with blue-diode laser photobiomodulation (PBM) in female patients diagnosed with LS.

Materials and Methods

The present single-center, single-blind prospective study was conducted from May 2022 to May 2023 at the Unit of Oral and Maxillo Facial Surgery (Ca' Foncello Hospital, Treviso) in collaboration with the Dermatology Unit at Ca' Foncello Hospital, Treviso, Italy. The main objective of the study was to evaluate the efficacy of PBM performed with a blue-diode laser in the management of vulvar lichen sclerosus (VLS) in terms of a 50% reduction of signs and symptoms over time.

Study protocol

The present study protocol was born following the consolidated collaboration between the Unit of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery and the Dermatology Unit of the Ca' Foncello Hospital, especially for the management of mucocutaneous diseases like lichen planus.

In our setting, patients with oral lichen planus are screened for extraoral cutaneous lesions by dermatologists and patients with genital involvement are evaluated by dentists and maxillofacial surgeons for oral features of the disease.

The use of blue diode laser is already documented as an effective technique for multiple conditions, 10-13 including the management of LS. 14 Nonetheless, literature still lacks robust evidence, and protocols are not standardized yet.

Twelve consecutive female patients affected by histologically confirmed VLS without oral involvement and not entirely satisfied with the ongoing treatment were recruited for treatment with blue diode laser. Inclusion criteria were histological and clinical diagnosis of genital LS, female sex, age higher than 18 years, and availability to attend scheduled appointments. The only treatments allowed during the study protocol were emollients. Topical steroids and topical calcineurin inhibitors had to be discontinued for at least 2 weeks; systemic therapies (acitretin/dapsone) could be continued during the study.

Exclusion criteria were male sex, age lower than 18 years, pregnancy or lactation, extragenital localization of lichen sclerosus, previous diagnosis of anogenital neoplasia, previous genital radiotherapy or laser therapy, acute or chronic infections such as syphilis, vulvovaginitis or HIV, application of pigmented topical products (e.g., eosin, jodopovidone), concurrent treatment with topical steroids or topical calcineurin inhibitors. All the patients signed an informed consent form before proceeding with the treatment. Patients were subjected to PBM therapy three times a week for two weeks and were then evaluated in follow-up sessions. The following protocol was employed and repeated two consecutive times during each daily session in defocused modality: combined wavelengths 445+/-15, 970+/-15, and 660+/-15 nm, frequency 50-1000 Hz, peak power 6W, 240 s, spot size 2 cm², and 600 J energy (GaAIAs diode laser, Eltevh K-Laser Srl, Treviso, Italy). The fiber was kept orthogonally, moved with concentric circles all over the affected area, and kept about a 3 cm distance from the lesions. Both patients and operators wore goggles during the laser therapy sessions. One dermatologist (SB) performed the laser sessions, and other colleagues (AG, SC), blinded regarding the treatment applied, performed the clinical evaluation of outcomes.

For each patient, a series of subjective and objective parameters were evaluated before starting the treatment (T0), at the end of the treatment (T2), and 4 months after the end of therapy (T16).

We used a modified Clinical Lichen Sclerosus Score⁴ assessing the following items: itch, pain, dysuria with an 11-point scale from 0 (absent) to 10 (the worst condition ever); erythema, whitening, petechiae, fissures, clitoral hood fusion, labial fusion, anterior changes, perianal involvement, formation of posterior commissure band with a 4-point score (0: absent, 1: mild, 2: moderate, 3: severe). The DLQI (Dermatology Life Quality Index)¹⁵ score was assessed for each patient at T0, T2, and T16. Digital photographs were taken at each visit.

Statistical analysis

Python version 3.9.16, Scipy 1.7.3, and Pandas 1.4.4 were used for data analysis. Friedman's test with Bonferroni corrections was used to evaluate changes over time. The achievement of the main goal of the study, namely the 50% reduction in signs and symptoms of VLS, was assessed using the Cochran's Q test by comparing T0 with T2 and T16 (start and end of laser treatment, start and follow-up visit at 4 months) and T2 with T16 (end of laser treatment and follow-up visit at 4 months).

Results

Twelve consecutive female patients were enrolled in the present study. Demographic features, comorbidities, duration of VLS, and previous therapies are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

The mean age was 57.4 years (±12.1 years), 25% of patients reported a disease duration of less than 5 years, 41.7% between 5 and 10 years, and 33.3% more than 10 years. Most patients reported at least one comorbidity (7 out of 12, 58.3%), among which the most frequent was arterial hypertension (33.3%). Three patients (25%) reported autoimmune concomitant conditions such as Hashimoto thyroiditis and localized scleroderma. All the patients were previously treated with clobetasole propionate 0.05% ointment, which was stopped at least 14 days before PBM and discontinued until T16.

Tables 1 and 2 show the results of every parameter considered, analyzed with Friedman's test with Bonferroni corrections and Cochran's Q test, respectively.

Subjective symptoms reported by patients, such as itch and pain, significantly decreased over time (p=0.0071 and p=0.0001, respectively); both parameters reached the attended 50% improvement at T2 and T16. DLQI showed a significant reduction over time (p=0.0004), DLQI values improved by at least 50%, comparing T0 and T2 and T0 and T16 with statistical significance (p=0.0027 and p=0.0143, respectively). No statistically significant data were found between T2 and T16, meaning that the improvement was maintained after the end of laser sessions up to T16.

Objective signs such as anterior changes, erythema, fissures, perianal involvement, and whitening significantly improved over time; each parameter significantly reached 50% improvement after PBM and T16. More chronic signs, such as clitoral and labial fusion and the presence of petechiae, did not change over time and did not significantly improve after PBM (Tables 1 and 2; Figure 1). The evaluation of objective signs was performed through photographic comparison.

All patients completed PBM and attended follow-up. No side effects were detected, and no patients discontinued the treatment.





Discussion

The present paper discusses the efficacy of PBM in managing VLS in a cohort of 12 patients. The employment of PBM in the management of symptoms in various inflammatory conditions is widespread, 16,17 as it is for gynecological pathologies. Treatment of VLS focuses on inflammation reduction and minimization of the sequelae. In most studies, PBM compared with topical steroids – as the goal standard of treatment – and laser therapy is frequently associated with a greater reduction in itching, pain, and dyspareunia at around 1 and 3 months after treatment. Also, subjective outcomes, tolerability, and patients' satisfaction show better results for PBM than topical steroids. Lasers are emerging strategies for treating VLS. Anti-inflammatory and biostimulating properties are the main rationales for their increased use, also at the histopathological level. In fact, lasers act at the collagen and epithelial level, avoiding the progressive (or maintained) thinning

induced by prolonged steroid therapy.²⁰ Some authors also demonstrated that sclerosis can be greatly reduced after PBM.²¹

The efficacy of PBM depends on treatment parameters. Despite being usually well-tolerated and free of side effects, the expected therapeutic effect can be achieved only with the correct parameters. Endogenous chromophores adsorb red and near-infrared lights, modulate mitochondrial adenosine triphosphate, generate reactive oxygen species, and modify intracellular calcium levels, promoting cell proliferation, migration, and differentiation. All these mechanisms contribute to wound healing, analgesia, and tissue regeneration.²²

The combination of wavelengths applied in our treatment protocol is quite a unique feature since it offers multiple advantages in terms of biostimulation, heat control, analgesia, and antimicrobial effects. The choice of the correct protocol represents a milestone in current research in PBM, and the use of specific protocols depending on the expected effect prompts the validation of a mul-

Table 1. Evaluation of any parameter over time (T0, T2, T16) with Friedman t-test with Bonferroni correction.

Symptom/Sign	Time	Mean	Std	Friedman test p-value (with Bonferroni correction)
Dysuria	T0	1.33	2.23	0.0740
	T2	0.17	0.58	
	T16	0.25	0.62	
Itch	T0	5.33	3.92	0.0071*
	T2	1.58	2.57	
	T16	1.50	1.78	
Pain	T0	3.92	2.35	0.0001*
	T2	0.75	1.86	
	T16	1.08	2.11	
DLQI	T0	9.08	4.70	0.0004*
	T2	3.92	3.03	
	T16	5.33	4.83	
Anterior changes	Т0	1.58	0.79	0.0024*
	T2	0.92	0.79	
	T16	0.92	0.51	
Clitoral fusion	T0	0.67	0.98	n.s.
	T2	0.67	0.98	
	T16	0.67	0.98	
Erythema	T0	2.42	0.51	0.0000*
	T2	0.00	0.00	
	T16	0.50	0.80	
Fissures	T0	1.00	0.85	0.0024*
	T2	0.08	0.29	
	T16	0.33	0.49	
Labial fusion	T0	1.17	1.03	0.2231
	T2	1.08	1.00	
	T16	1.00	1.04	
Perianal involvement	T0	1.33	0.98	0.0006*
	T2	0.42	0.67	
	T16	0.67	0.78	
Petechiae	T0	0.17	0.39	0.1353
	T2	0.00	0.00	
	T16	0.00	0.00	
Posterior commissure bands	T0	0.58	0.79	0.1653
	T2	0.33	0.65	
	T16	0.33	0.65	
Whitening	Т0	2.25	0.75	0.0001*
	T2	0.83	0.39	
	T16	0.75	0.45	

STD, standard deviation; n.s., not significant; *statistically significant (p=0.025); T0, before starting laser therapy; T2, after two weeks (at the end of laser therapy sessions); T16, after 16 weeks.





Table 2. Cochran's Q test was used to assess the improvement of 50% of each parameter comparing T0 and T2, T0 and T16, T2 and T16.

Symptom/Sign	Time	Cochran's Q test p-value (50% improving)
Dysuria	T0-T2	0.0455*
•	T0-T16	0.0455*
	T2-T16	n.s.
Itch	T0-T2	0.0082*
	T0-T16	0.0047*
	T2-T16	0.0455*
Pain	T0-T2	0.0027*
	T0-T16	0.0027*
	T2-T16	n.s.
DLQI	T0-T2	0.0027*
	T0-T16	0.0143*
	T2-T16	0.0833
Anterior changes	T0-T2	0.0047*
	T0-T16	0.0143*
	T2-T16	0.3173
Clitoral fusion	T0-T2	n.s.
	T0-T16	n.s.
	T2-T16	n.s.
Erythema	T0-T2	0.0005*
	T0-T16	0.001*
	T2-T16	n.s.
Fissures	T0-T2	0.0082*
	T0-T16	0.0143*
	T2-T16	n.s.
Labial fusion	T0-T2	0.3173
	T0-T16	0.1573
	T2-T16	0.3173
Perianal involvement	T0-T2	0.0047*
	T0-T16	0.0253*
	T2-T16	n.s.
Petechiae	T0-T2	0.1573
	T0-T16	0.1573
	T2-T16	n.s.
Posterior commissure bands	T0-T2	0.0455*
	T0-T16	0.0455*
	T2-T16	n.s.
Whitening	T0-T2	0.0009*
	T0-T16	0.0016*
	T2-T16	0.1573

 $T0, before \ starting \ laser \ therapy; T2, \ after \ two \ weeks \ (at \ the \ end \ of \ laser \ therapy \ sessions); T16, \ after \ 16 \ weeks; n.s., not \ significant; *statistically \ significant \ (p<0.05).$



Figure 1. Clinical pictures of a patient over time. a) T0 before starting the treatment: erythema, fissures, whitening, clitoral and labial fusion are present; b) T2 after PBM: fissures and erythema are diminished; c) T16 four months after PBM: good clinical outcome with mild fissures and whitening.





tiwavelength protocol in clinical settings.¹²

Many reports confirm that combining red and blue light accelerates re-epithelialization and cross-linked collagen fiber formation.²³ At the same time, it is hypothesized that infrared wavelengths may contribute to the reduction of hypertrophic wound healing.²⁴ Moreover, lasers impact pain transmission, modulating nociception with mitochondria as the primary target, reducing adenosine triphosphate content, and increasing reactive oxygen species levels. The 970 nm infrared wavelength seems to act also on the reduction of calcium response, configuring it as the ideal wavelength for analgesia.²⁵

To the best of our knowledge, only one study experimented with the use of blue diode laser in VLS, ¹⁴ whereas a few discussed other types of devices like CO₂, ²⁶ including the most studied ones, Nd:YAG⁵ and Er:YAG.²⁷ Despite this, the blue light appears to shape up for the purpose of healing VLS since it enhances the healing process in chronic and hard-to-heal wounds that do not respond to standard treatment, thanks to the promotion of angiogenesis, reduced inflammation, and direct antimicrobial effects. ^{28,29} The present study demonstrates rapid benefit on both subjective symptoms (itching, pain, quality of life) and objective signs (erythema, fissures, anterior changes, perianal involvement, whitening), with the benefit sustained over time (16 weeks). No side effects were observed, indicating that the treatment was safe and well-tolerated.

Future objectives include evaluating a larger sample size, conducting multicenter studies, and assessing the timing for retreatment. The rapid and sustained efficacy of the treatment observed in this study underscores its potential as a valuable therapeutic option for patients suffering from the condition. Further research and collaboration are needed to fully elucidate its long-term benefits and optimal use in clinical practice.

Conclusions

In conclusion, this study highlights the efficacy of PBM in managing symptoms associated with VLS in a cohort of 12 patients. The findings reveal rapid and sustained improvement in both subjective symptoms and objective signs over a 16-week period, with no observed side effects, indicating the safety and tolerability of the treatment. Future objectives include expanding the sample size, conducting multicenter studies, and optimizing retreatment strategies. The results of this study underscore the potential of PBM as a valuable therapeutic option for VLS patients. However, further research and collaboration are warranted to understand its long-term benefits and refine its clinical application

References

- Kirtschig G, Becker K, Günthert A, et al. Evidence-based (S3) Guideline on (anogenital) Lichen sclerosus. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2015;29:e1-43.
- Gulin SJ, Lundin F, Seifert O. Comorbidity in patients with Lichen sclerosus: a retrospective cohort study. Eur J Med Res 2023;28:338.
- 3. Lewis FM, Tatnall FM, Velangi SS, et al. British Association of Dermatologists guidelines for the management of lichen sclerosus, 2018. Br J Dermatol 2018;178:839–53.
- 4. Sun XY, Xiao YP, Sun YX, et al. [Clinical and pathological analysis of 345 cases of vulvar lichen sclerosus and a prelim-

- inary study on the frequency of maintenance treatment]. Zhonghua Fu Chan Ke Za Zhi 2024:59:56–63.
- Zivanovic I, Gamper M, Fesslmeier D, et al. Nd:YAG/Er:YAG dual laser compared with topical steroid to treat vulvar lichen sclerosus: A randomised controlled trial. BJOG 2024;131:740–749.
- Ou S, Wang H, Liu W, et al. Combination of high-frequency electrocautery therapy and ALA-PDT in hyperkeratotic vulvar lichen sclerosus: Series of seven cases. Photodiagnosis Photodyn Ther 2024;45:103924.
- Hargis A, Ngo M, Kraus CN, Mauskar M. Systemic Therapy for Lichen Sclerosus: A Systematic Review. J Low Genit Tract Dis 2024;28:84

 –90.
- Casabona F, Gasparini G, Cozzani E, et al. Improvement in quality of life and sexual function in patients affected by vulvar lichen sclerosus treated with combined autologous platelet-rich plasma and fat grafting. Eur J Dermatol 33:249– 54.
- Singh N, Ghatage P. Etiology, Clinical Features, and Diagnosis of Vulvar Lichen Sclerosus: A Scoping Review. Obstet Gynecol Int 2020:7480754.
- Gobbo M, Rico V, Marta GN, et al. Photobiomodulation therapy for the prevention of acute radiation dermatitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Support Care Cancer 2023;31:227.
- 11. Gobbo M, Bussani R, Perinetti G, et al. Blue diode laser versus traditional infrared diode laser and quantic molecular resonance scalpel: clinical and histological findings after excisional biopsy of benign oral lesions (Erratum). J Biomed Opt 2019;24:1.
- 12. Rupel K, Zupin L, Colliva A, et al. Photobiomodulation at Multiple Wavelengths Differentially Modulates Oxidative Stress In Vitro and In Vivo. Oxid Med Cell Longev 2018:6510159.
- Rupel K, Zupin L, Brich S, et al. Antimicrobial activity of amphiphilic nanomicelles loaded with curcumin against Pseudomonas aeruginosa alone and activated by blue laser light. J Biophotonics 2021;14:e202000350.
- 14. Di Meo N, Nan K, Noal C, et al. Blue diode laser: a new strategy for the management of lichen sclerosus et atrophicus. G Ital Dermatol Venereol 2018;153:289–91.
- Finlay AY, Khan GK. Dermatology Life Quality Index (DLQI)—a simple practical measure for routine clinical use. Clin Exp Dermatol 1994;19:210–6.
- 16. Zanotta N, Ottaviani G, Campisciano G, et al. Photobiomodulation modulates inflammation and oral microbiome: a pilot study. Biomarkers 2020;25:677–84.
- Gobbo M, Ottaviani G, Rupel K, et al. Same strategy for pitfalls of radiotherapy in different anatomical districts. Lasers Med Sci 2016;31:471–9.
- Krause E, Neumann S, Maier M, et al. LASER treatment in gynaecology -A randomized controlled trial in women with symptomatic lichen sclerosus. Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2023;287:171–5.
- Gil-Villalba A, Ayen-Rodriguez A, Naranjo-Diaz MJ, Ruiz-Villaverde R. Laser Therapy for Vulvar Lichen Sclerosus, a Systematic Review. Life (Basel) 2023;13:2146.
- 20. Belotto R, Correa L, Martins WK, et al. Topic corticosteroid and photobiomodulation treatment impact on vulvar lichen sclerosus: clinical, inflammatory and reparative analysis. Lasers Surg Med 2019;51:S39□S40.
- Bizjak Ogrinc U, Senčar S, Luzar B, Lukanović A. Efficacy of Non-ablative Laser Therapy for Lichen Sclerosus: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Obstet Gynaecol Can



- 2019:41:1717-25.
- Maghfour J, Ozog DM, Mineroff J, et al. Photobiomodulation CME Part I: Overview and Mechanism of Action. J Am Acad Dermatol 2024;S0190-9622:00186–5.
- 23. Figurová M, Ledecký V, Karasová M, et al. Histological Assessment of a Combined Low-Level Laser/Light-Emitting Diode Therapy (685 nm/470 nm) for Sutured Skin Incisions in a Porcine Model: A Short Report. Photomed Laser Surg 2016;34:53–5.
- 24. Webb C, Dyson M. The effect of 880 nm low level laser energy on human fibroblast cell numbers: a possible role in hypertrophic wound healing. J Photochem Photobiol B 2003;70:39–44.
- 25. Zupin L, Ottaviani G, Rupel K, et al. Analgesic effect of Photobiomodulation Therapy: An in vitro and in vivo study. J

- Biophotonics 2019;12:e201900043.
- Marzec A, Olejek A, Stopinska K, et al. The use of CO2 laser in vulvar lichen sclerosus treatment - molecular evidence. Ginekol Pol 2023.
- 27. Kofler L, Charalambous A, Kussini J, Steinert M. Treatment of vulvar lichen sclerosus et atrophicus by ablative microspot erbium:YAG laser. J Dtsch Dermatol Ges 2023;21:179–80.
- Ricci E, Pittarello M. Blue light photobiomodulation for reactivation of healing in wounds not responding to standard therapy. J Wound Care 2023;32:695–703.
- 29. Zhang D, Leong ASW, McMullin G. Blue light therapy in the management of chronic wounds: a narrative review of its physiological basis and clinical evidence. Wounds 2023;35:91–8.

Online Supplementary Material:

Supplementary Table 1. Demographic data, disease duration, previous treatments, and comorbidities of patients enrolled in the study.

