

Refractory pityriasis rubra pilaris treated with abrocitinib

Filip Rob

Department of Dermatovenerology, Second Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Bulovka University Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic

Abstract

Pityriasis rubra pilaris is a rare idiopathic papulosquamous disorder that significantly impacts quality of life and is often refractory to conventional therapies. This study presents a case of successful treatment with an abrocitinib JAK1 inhibitor after several conventional and biologic therapies failed.

Correspondence: Filip Rob, MD, PhD, Department of Dermatovenerology, Second Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Bulovka University Hospital, Budinova 2, 180 81 Prague, Czech Republic.

Tel.: + 420 266 082 359. E-mail: filip.rob@bulovka.cz

Key words: pityriasis rubra pilaris; Janus kinase; abrocitinib.

Conflict of interest: FR has received honoraria as a speaker and/or consultant for AbbVie, Almirall, BMS, Eli Lilly, Janssen, Leo Pharma, MSD, Novartis, Pfizer, Sanofi Genzyme, and UCB.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: no ethical committee approval was required for this case report by the department, because this article does not contain any studies with human participants or animals. Informed consent was obtained from the patient included in this study.

Consent for publication: the patient provided written consent to use her personal data for the publication of this case report and any accompanying images.

Availability of data and materials: all data underlying the findings are fully available.

Received: 31 July 2025. Accepted: 5 January 2025

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC 4.0).

©Copyright: the Author(s), 2025 Licensee PAGEPress, Italy Dermatology Reports 2025; 17:10105 doi:10.4081/dr.2025.10105

Publisher's note: all claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Introduction

Pityriasis rubra pilaris (PRP) is a rare idiopathic papulosquamous disorder that can affect people of all ages, with a typical peak in individuals aged 50-60 years, but data on the incidence and prevalence of PRP are scarce.\(^1\) The disease is classified into 5 subtypes, of which type I, the classic adult form, is the most common and accounts for more than half of all cases of the disease.\(^2\) PRP type I typically has cephalocaudal spread and may progress to erythroderma with typical islands of sparing. Other characteristic signs of this disease are waxy palmoplantar keratoderma and follicular papules. The majority of patients develop nail changes such as splinter hemorrhages, subungual hyperkeratosis, nail plate thickening, and others.\(^2\)

Pityriasis rubra pilaris has a significant impact on quality of life, as it is often refractory to conventional therapies.³ To date, numerous treatment options have also been trialed for PRP, with variable success rates. Topical corticosteroids, retinoids, and conventional systemic therapies such as azathioprine, cyclosporine, and methotrexate are typically used in the treatment of this disease. In recent years, the use of modern targeted and biologic therapies has been reported in refractory PRP cases due to similarity with other inflammatory skin diseases such as psoriasis.⁴

This case report presents a case of successful treatment with abrocitinib JAK1 inhibitor after failure of several conventional and biologic therapies.

Case Report

A 57-year-old woman without a dermatologic history suddenly developed generalized erythroderma with diffuse desquamation. Her only medication at that time was omeprazole for gastroesophageal reflux, which she had been taking for several years. She was referred to our department approximately two years after the onset of the rash. By that time, she had already undergone multiple skin biopsies with conflicting results, indicating either psoriasis or pityriasis rubra pilaris. During this period, she received intensive local treatments with topical corticosteroids and keratolytics, as well as several courses of systemic corticosteroids. Additionally, she was treated with acitretin for 4 months (25 mg daily), methotrexate for 6 months (10 mg once weekly), and azathioprine for 4 months (100 mg daily). However, these treatments and the change in the patient's chronic medication did not lead to a significant improvement in her condition.

During the first examination at our department, an erythematous scaly eruption was present, covering over 90% of the body surface (erythroderma) with some islands of sparing typical for PRP. At the same time, diffuse scaling in the scalp and yellowish hyperkeratoses were present on the soles and palms. Additional skin biopsies were performed that were compatible with a diagnosis of PRP. The patient had undergone detailed cancer screening and complex immunological and rheumatological examinations.





All examinations were without significant pathology findings. Treatment with cyclosporin was started (150 mg twice daily). However, after 12 weeks, the treatment was stopped because it had no effect. The patient was given her first biological therapy with risankizumab, which had to be stopped after 16 weeks because the rash progressed, and the patient required further hospitalization. Similarly, adalimumab had to be stopped after 12 weeks for the same reasons.

The patient's third biological treatment was infliximab. Four days after the second infusion, the patient developed generalized lymphadenopathy with fever and a significant elevation of C-reactive protein (CRP), but without changes in the blood count. The patient was hospitalized at the Infectious Disease Department, where she underwent a comprehensive examination for infectious diseases, including anthropozoonoses. Extirpation of one of the lymph nodes was also performed, where chronic lymphadenitis with histiocytic paracortical infiltrates corresponding to dermatopathic lymphadenopathy was described. An infectious cause was ruled out. The condition was evaluated as an immunological

response after infliximab administration.

The patient was afraid of further systemic therapy; therefore, chamber UVB phototherapy was started, but again, due to a significant worsening of the skin with further necessity of hospitalization, it was terminated after 11 sessions. Secukinumab was administered to the patient as the fourth biological therapy, which was also discontinued after 16 weeks, as the therapy did not show an improvement. Subsequently, the patient put herself under the care of a healer of traditional Chinese medicine, as she had already lost hope of improving her skin condition with conventional medical treatment.

After approximately half a year, the patient came to our department again, as alternative medicine did not help her; erythroderma and significant hyperkeratosis in the scalp, soles, and palms still persisted (Figure 1). Moreover, without medication, the patient suffered from severe itching of the whole body. The patient was emotionally very negatively tuned due to the poor long-term quality of life. Therefore, the decision was made to start treatment with abrocitinib (200 mg daily). After 16 weeks of abrocitinib



Figure 1. Baseline before abrocitinib treatment.



Figure 2. 16 weeks after treatment with abrocitinib.



therapy, significant improvement was achieved for the first time, with only isolated regressing pink macules on the trunk and limbs (body surface area 11%) and no desquamation. The hyperkeratoses on the palms and soles had also regressed; only the flaking in the brush persisted (Figure 2). The itching practically disappeared very quickly during the first days of abrocitinib treatment. This significant improvement persists in the patient, and no adverse effects of the therapy or laboratory changes have yet occurred.

Discussion

Correct diagnosis of PRP may be challenging, as the symptoms of the disease may initially look like psoriasis or an eczematous reaction. Moreover, treatment of PRP remains challenging despite diverse treatment options that have been tested for PRP, as randomized controlled trials are lacking.^{2,4} Current knowledge of the pathophysiology suggests PRP is an interleukin (IL)-17, IL-23-mediated disease with an innate immune dysregulation.⁵ Consequently, the pro-inflammatory cytokines essential for psoriasis, such as tumor necrosis factor, IL-17, and, more recently, IL-23, have been tested in PRP therapy. However, even these very effective drugs for psoriasis are not always effective in the treatment of PRP.⁶ This is likely due to the diverse nature of PRP's underlying causes. Recent studies have indicated that various pro-inflammatory cytokines, such as IL-6, IL-12, and IL-22, are elevated in the affected skin of individuals with PRP.⁷

Janus kinase (JAK) inhibitors are a new therapeutic group in dermatology currently used for the treatment of alopecia areata, atopic dermatitis, and psoriasis. Unlike biologics, JAK inhibitors target downstream intracellular signaling pathways, which are utilized by many proinflammatory molecules to mediate downstream effects and activate gene transcription. Several cytokines rely on JAK-STAT signaling to mediate downstream effects, including IL-2, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-12, IL-13, IL-23, and others. This therapeutic strategy of targeting a wider range of cytokines would, therefore, make sense in a heterogeneous disease such as PRP. Three cases of successful therapy of treatment-resistant PRP with upadacitinib, a JAK-1 inhibitor, and one case with tofacitinib, a pan-JAK inhibitor, were recently reported.8-11 Lately, a series of five cases highlighting the success of PRP therapy combined with abrocitinib was published. 12 This suggests that, due to their broader effect on the immune system, JAK inhibitors may be a viable treatment option for cases of PRP that are resistant to conventional therapies. Recently, safety concerns have arisen regarding the safety of JAK inhibitors based on post-marketing studies of tofacitinib in rheumatoid arthritis, which found increased risks of cancers, venous thromboembolism (VTE), and major adverse cardiovascular events. 13 However, based on current knowledge from studies in dermatological patients, JAK inhibitors had low rates of VTE, major adverse cardiovascular events, and malignancy comparable to placebo.14

Conclusions

This case demonstrates a successful therapy of treatment-resistant PRP with the JAK1 inhibitor abrocitinib after several conventional and biological therapies failed.

References

- Ross NA, Chung H-J, Li Q, et al. Epidemiologic, clinicopathologic, diagnostic, and management challenges of pityriasis rubra pilaris: a case series of 100 patients. JAMA Dermatol 2016;152:670-5.
- Joshi TP, Duvic M. Pityriasis Rubra Pilaris: An Updated Review of Clinical Presentation, Etiopathogenesis, and Treatment Options. Am J Clin Dermatol 2024;25:243-59.
- Ji-Xu A, Lei DK, Worswick S, et al. Patient and disease characteristics associated with psychiatric symptoms and impaired quality of life in pityriasis rubra pilaris. Br J Dermatol 2022:187:1024-6.
- Chandy RJ, Chokshi A, Tan I, Feldman SR. Biologics for Treatment of Pityriasis Rubra Pilaris: A Literature Review. J Cut Med Surg 2024;12034754241238735.
- Strunck JL, Cutler B, Rajpal B, et al. Pityriasis rubra pilaris response to IL-17A inhibition is associated with IL-17C and CCL20 protein levels. J Invest Dermatol 2022;142:235-9.
- Abduljawad M, Alsharif TH, Gronfula AG, et al. The effectiveness of anti-interleukin-17A treatment for pityriasis rubra pilaris: A systematic review. Cureus 2023;15:6.
- Feldmeyer L, Mylonas A, Demaria O, et al. Interleukin 23helper T cell 17 axis as a treatment target for pityriasis rubra pilaris. JAMA Dermatol 2017;153:304-8.
- Ying Y, Yu-Hua L, Xiao-Yan W, Su-Chun H. A case of pityriasis rubra pilaris treated with tofacitinib after failure with acitretin and ixekizumab. Australas J Dermatol 2023;64:445-7.
- Saad M, Spurr A, Lipson J. Pityriasis rubra pilaris partially responsive to treatment with upadacitinib: a case report. SAGE Open Med Case Rep 2023;11:2050313X231160927.
- Song EJ, Al-Saedy MA, Bouché N. Refractory pityriasis rubra pilaris treated with upadacitinib. JAAD Case Rep 2023;35:112-4.
- Li Y, Chen Z, He M, et al. Successful treatment of erythrodermic pityriasis rubra pilaris with upadacitinib. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2023;38:e593-5.
- Ying L, Xiaoyuan Z, Dawei H, et al. Efficacy and safety of oral abrocitinib monotherapy in pityriasis rubra pilaris. J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol 2024;38:e920-2.
- Ytterberg SR, Bhatt DL, Mikuls TR, et al. Cardiovascular and cancer risk with tofacitinib in rheumatoid arthritis. N Engl J Med 2022;386:316-26.
- Samuel C, Cornman H, Kambala A, Kwatra SG. A review on the safety of using JAK inhibitors in dermatology: clinical and laboratory monitoring. Derm Ther 2022;13:729-49.

