



Dermatology Reports

<https://www.pagepress.org/journals/index.php/dr/index>

eISSN 2036-7406




Publisher's Disclaimer. E-publishing ahead of print is increasingly important for the rapid dissemination of science. **Dermatology Reports** is, therefore, E-publishing PDF files of an early version of manuscripts that undergone a regular peer review and have been accepted for publication, but have not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading processes, which may lead to differences between this version and the final one.

The final version of the manuscript will then appear on a regular issue of the journal.

E-publishing of this PDF file has been approved by the authors.

Please cite this article as:

Piccolo V, Russo T, Mazzatenta C, et al. Self-induced discoloration of the face with red exogenous pigment detected by dermoscopy: a novel type of dermatitis artefacta in the COVID-19 era. Dermatol Rep 2025 [Epub Ahead of Print] doi: 10.4081/dr.2025.10140

 © the Author(s), 2025
Licensee PAGEPress, Italy

Submitted 17/06/25 - Accepted 27/07/25

Note: The publisher is not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries should be directed to the corresponding author for the article.

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article or claim that may be made by its manufacturer is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Self-induced discoloration of the face with red exogenous pigment detected by dermoscopy: a novel type of dermatitis artefacta in the COVID-19 era

Vincenzo Piccolo,¹ Teresa Russo,¹ Carlo Mazzatenta,² Giuseppe Argenziano,¹ Julia Nowowiejska,³ Mario Cutrone,⁴ Markus Danielsson Darlington,⁵ Aimilios Lallas,⁶ Ramon Grimalt,⁷ Andrea Bassi²

¹Dermatology Unit, University of Campania, Naples, Italy; ²Dermatology Unit, Azienda USL Toscana Nord Ovest, Lucca, Italy; ³Department of Dermatology and Venereology, Medical University of Bialystok, Poland; ⁴Pediatric Dermatology Clinic, Centro di Medicina Mestre, Venice, Italy; ⁵Department of Dermatology, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Institute of Clinical Sciences, Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden; ⁶First Department of Dermatology, Aristotle University, Thessaloniki, Greece; ⁷Universitat Internacional de Catalunya, Barcelona, Spain

Correspondence: Julia Nowowiejska, MD, PhD, Department of Dermatology and Venereology, 14 Zurawia St., 15-540 Bialystok, Poland.

E-mail: julia.nowowiejska@umb.edu.pl

Key words: dermatitis artefacta; self-inflicted disorder; red exogenous pigment; COVID-19; skin.

Ethics approval and consent to participate: not applicable.

Consent for publication: the patients' parents gave their written consent to use the patients' personal data for the publication of this case report and any accompanying images.

Availability of data and materials: not applicable as no new data were generated.

Dear Editor,

Dermatitis artefacta (DA) is a condition on the border of psychiatry and dermatology, characterized by patients intentionally causing skin lesions to pretend that they are ill. DA is a very challenging entity to diagnose, as it can mimic many other disorders and is usually recognized only after exclusion of all other diseases.¹ Herein, we report three cases of a novel cutaneous condition that could fall into the spectrum of DA.

The first patient, a 15-year-old adolescent, presented with recurrent erythematous facial lesions for a couple of months. The patient had consulted the emergency department because of the acute appearance of the lesions, but blood investigations were normal. The patient was otherwise healthy, except for a concurrent fever with the cutaneous eruption. Lesions had spontaneously resolved after each episode. At clinical examination, erythema was diffusely seen on the face, sparing the central area (Figure 1A). The patient reported intense pain at palpation. Dermoscopy revealed widespread reddish dots (Figure 1D), which were not compatible with vessels, but likely to be exogenous red pigment.

The second patient, an 8-year-old girl, was urgently referred for a second episode of acute facial redness. She was in good health, and after a few visits to the emergency department, her blood tests were normal. Physical examination revealed an intense erythema of the right side of the face (Figure 1B), whose dermoscopy overlapped case 1 (Figure 1E).

The third case, a 15-year-old girl, consulted us for a 4-month history of recurrent sudden reddish discoloration of eyelids. She was previously referred to the emergency department and was hospitalized in the pediatric inpatient clinic with the suspicion of dermatomyositis, then excluded for normal blood investigations. At our visit, she had no lesions (Figure 1C), but dermoscopic examination allowed us to see, in correspondence with the lower left eyelid, reddish dots, as the remnants of previous exogenous pigment (Figure 1F).

Based on history, clinical, and mostly dermoscopic findings, a diagnosis of self-induced discoloration of the face with red exogenous pigment was made. Apart from the dermoscopic appearance showing clear-cut red exogenous pigment, the unequivocal demonstration of DA was obtained with alcohol, which was successfully used to remove pigment in all cases (Figure 2 A,B). The findings were then clearly presented to the patients and their parents. Another ‘proof’ was one patient’s inner aspect of the face mask, showing residual red pigment (Figure 2C).

Diagnosis left the parents incredulous, although the evidence. On the other hand, patients’ reactions were different. The adolescents objected to the use of alcohol for pigment removal, citing pain. Patient 2 soon confessed after diagnosis and declared to have repeatedly used a fluorescent highlighter to

produce her 'disease'. The culprit remains unknown for patients 1 and 3. Psychiatric support was strongly advised for the three patients.

Although presenting with apparently different clinical manifestations, the three girls had several features in common: recurrent episodes of facial erythema, good general health, no other symptoms (fever was simulated in patient 1), normal blood investigations, and the dermoscopic finding that permitted the diagnosis. Another very important anamnestic information is that all these patients were seen soon after the COVID-19 lockdown restrictions, which could have noticeably impacted their psychiatric stability.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first description of self-induced pigmentation of the skin, and we can speculate that it can be considered a novel, previously undescribed variant of DA. A recent large review classified pediatric pathomimia.^{2,3}

As our patients simulated true cutaneous diseases such as rosacea, lupus, dermatomyositis, psoriasis, eczema, fifth disease, and others, the diagnosis could be challenging, and dermoscopy played a central role in detecting the guilty habit.

Unfortunately, the COVID pandemic has significantly impacted our lives,⁴ introduced new dermatologic signs,^{5,6} caused bizarre vaccine reactions,^{7,8} and indirectly affected people's psychiatric stability, especially adolescents who seek attention through extreme acts like disease simulation. We should be aware of this novel condition in order to avoid diagnostic delay, promptly manage the psychiatric morbidity of our young patients, but contextually be delicate in the diagnosis and avoid the so-called 'empathic failure'.

References

1. Kansal NK. Dermatitis Artefacta: An Update. *Skinmed* 2019;17:311-6.
2. Piccolo V, Argenziano G. The Impact of Novel Coronavirus on Dermatology. *Dermatol Pract Concept* 2020;10:e2020049.
3. Mosca M, Martin K, Haderler E, et al. Review of the diagnosis and management of pediatric psychodermatologic conditions: Part I. *Pediatr Dermatol* 2022;39:17-21.
4. Mosca M, Martin K, Hong J, et al. A review of the diagnosis and management of pediatric psychodermatologic conditions: Part II. *Pediatr Dermatol* 2022;39:12-6.
5. Piccolo V, Bassi A, Russo T, et al. Chilblain-like lesions and COVID-19: second wave, second outbreak. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2021;35:e316-8.
6. Piccolo V, Bassi A, Argenziano G, et al. Dermoscopy of chilblain-like lesions during the COVID-19 outbreak: A multicenter study on 10 patients. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 2020;83:1749-51.
7. Mazzatenta C, Piccolo V, Pace G, et al. Purpuric lesions on the eyelids developed after BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine: another piece of SARS-CoV-2 skin puzzle? *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2021;35:e543-5.
8. Piccolo V, Bassi A, Argenziano G, et al. BNT162b2 mRNA COVID-19 vaccine-induced chilblain-like lesions reinforces the hypothesis of their relationship with SARS-CoV-2. *J Eur Acad Dermatol Venereol* 2021;35:e493-4.

Figure 1. A) 15-year-old girl with recurrent erythema of the face; B) unilateral facial erythema in an 8-year-old girl; C) apparent absence of erythema on the left lower eyelid in a 15-year-old girl with a recurrent history of erythema of eyelids. D, E, F) Dermatoscopy showed regularly distributed red dots due to red exogenous pigment on the skin.



Figure 2. A) Face of patient 2 after alcohol removal of pigment; B) red pigment on gauzes; C) red pigment remnants on face mask worn by patient 1.

